

THE VOICE FOUNDATION

Journal of Voice Editorial Meeting Thursday May 28, 2015, 11:30pm Westin Philadelphia Hotel Georgian Room

The Voice Foundation (3)

Robert T. Sataloff Maria Russo Katie Erikson

Elsevier (1) Stefanie Jewel-Thomas

International (8)

Mara Behlau Deborah Feijo Karen Kost Luc Mongeau Johan Sundberg Jan Svec Sten Ternström Edwin Yiu

Student Resident Editors (2)

Elizabeth Heller Murray David Young

*New Board Member

Domestic (30)

Shaheen Awan Susan Baker Brehm Linda Carroll Thomas Carroll* Dimitar Deliyski Kate Emerich Molly Erickson Norman Hogikyan David Howard Amanda Hu Christian Herbst Jack Jiang Michael Johns Aaron Johnson* Joel Kahane Sid Khosla Nick Maragos Jamie Eaglin Moore Michael Pitman Gisele Oliveira Diana Orbelo* Sharon Radionoff Bridget Rose Amy Rutt Ron Scherer Rahul Shrivastav Brenda Smith Harvey Tucker Miriam van Mersbergen Eva van Leer*

I. ATTENDANCE

II. CALL TO ORDER

- **III.** PUBLISHER'S REPORT Stefanie Jewell-Thomas, Elsevier, Executive Publisher, Health & Medical Sciences, STM Journals **[Attached]**
 - Journal health is very strong
 - Impact factors for 2014 have not yet been released [update: see Attachment]
 - Impact factor was down: there was a large backlog of articles in 2012, so there were many more articles in the factoring of the number, which effected the impact factor. It will naturally rise with the backlog of articles taken care of.
 - Sataloff: A reminder that we were 18 months behind, and Elsevier published **235** articles to catch up.
 - SJT: We want to get out articles as fast as possible. We are looking at another backlog because of excellent articles coming in.
 - 56% revenue growth in the last year
 - Due to Science Direct/Electronic usage
 - Print Decline / High Growth for JOV on Science Direct
 - The print has been declining on all journals.
 - RCS: What percentage?
 - SJT: We don't have that number. Many other countries have access for researchers to Science Direct.
 - **Science Direct** The vast majority of access through SD.
 - Request for JOV articles increased by 16%. Continuing high growth for JOV.
 - International Aspect: 69% of downloads come from outside the US, and that's a very high number. It speaks to the international aspect of JOV.
 - Archived articles are still very relevant, and are being asked for.
 - **Website:** <u>JVOICE.org</u> is also growing.
 - Increased usage

•

- **Clinical key** is a point of care research tool for clinicians
 - > Available only to those with subscriptions.
 - The link to ClinicalKey is www.clinicalkey.com there is no place to sign up for a trial. EdBoard members can contact Maria or Katie to request literature or a 15-day trial.
- Elsevier Campaigns about articles and use, and there's a campaign about how author's can promote their articles.
- Elsevier Publishing Campus -- courses on ethics, how to improve your writing, links to other helpful resources, career planning, etc.
- **NATS:** SJT: Elsevier is making the Journal more affordable to NATS members. \$99 subscription price
 - Advertized on the NATS website. **LINK**

- **New Platform:** Migration to a new platform that is now usable on pads and mobile devices
- IV. THANKS TO ELSEVIER Sataloff: I want to take the opportunity to thank Elsevier for their support. Otolaryngologists meet twice a year to discuss various things and reach a consensus, and last year there was a symposium on publishing which Elsevier helped fund. They are doing well with the Journal and are taking that back into the education for future.
- V. EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS :
 - RTS: Before you is a list of changes to the Editorial Board. There will be more changes in the future among reviewers.
 - <u>New members</u> added: Jonathan Bock, MD, Thomas Carroll, MD, Donna Lundy, PhD, Karen Lyons, MD, Diana Orbelo, PhD, Mary Sandage, PhD, Peta Sjolander, PhD, Ahmed Soliman, MD
 - <u>New Student Resident Editors</u>: Aaron Jaworek, MD, Elliana Kirsh, MD Candidate, Hagit Shoffel-Havakuk, MD
 - <u>Members removed:</u> Jurgen Wendler, MD, Kiminori Sato, MD, PhD, Harm Schutte, MD, PhD, Kim Corbin-Lewis, PhD
- VI. SPECIAL ISSUE: We will have an impending special issue of papers from the AQL 2013 Conference on Advances in Quantitative Laryngology, Voice and Speech Research
 - DDeliyski : We are late, a publication of 10-12 review articles
 - We are waiting for Elsevier to calculate the cost. It will be electronic, not paper.
 - Authors who have presented will hear very soon. Very little that they need to do. We have over 5000 downloads from our website. We are going to document everything that happened at this conference.
 - RTS: This will be a great addition to JOV into the future.
- VII. REVIEWER PERFORMANCE REPORT
 - Reflects all EES correspondence from May 15, 2014 through May 15, 2015
 - Editorial Board Members are required to return their reviews within 21 days.
- VIII. NEW BUSINESS

• Mentoring Idea – The story:

- Van Mersbergen: It came from a frustrating experience reviewing an article: there was a lot of work done gathering data, but it was not consistent with current scientific standards.
 - Spent many hours on this but got no academic acknowledgement as one would for mentoring.
 - **Do I review or do I mentor? Where are the lines drawn?** I recommended that they meet with a mentor, but there was nothing set up. As voice begins to grow, maybe we should take someone who has the experience in an area to help so that the research is meaningful.
- RTS: JOV has a culture and tradition of mentoring, which rose out of necessity. At that time, if we got an article that was marginally good we took it on. RCScherer was an education in his reviews. Come to the offices and read his reviews.
- One of the remarkable strengths of this journal is the mentoring aspects of the reviews.

- Do we go forward? Leave it as it is? Find new categories such as review/mentoring?
- Ideas:
 - What about a mentoring committee?
 - What if there is a pre-review committee? Authors could send it there first?
 - The pre-screening could then recommend to either review or mentoring.
 - For people at institutions, could someone there look it over first?
 - If we go back to Elsevier's course on the web, maybe we send them towards that?
- Reasons FOR:
 - RCScherer: The field of voice has too few people. Need to nurture them.
 - Good for non-native speakers
 - We have quite a few articles from foreign countries such as Turkey, where they don't have someone to look it over.
 - For the singing pedagogy folks venturing into voice science. We could use it.
- **Reasons AGAINST:**
 - MBehlau: Doesn't this delay the process of publication?
 > RTS: Yes but it ameliorates rejection.
 - (?) I haven't seen many where mentoring is needed.
 > RTS: Because I haven't sent them to you
 - BSmith: A pre-screening could mean that they send unfinished manuscripts.
 - The time factor. Who has time for this mentoring?
 - We have to be sure to not create a back-door into the Journal through the mentoring
- **Vote:** RTS: Do we want to make the commitment to offering a mentoring service? (General no)
- Result:
 - Elsevier: Maybe mentorship and research design?
 - RCS: Keep the board out of it as long as possible, and keep the resources on line. Then if they need something after all of that, we could mentor.
 - RTS: Who in the room would not do it? (A few) I suggest that many of you are doing this already.
 - Is there any reason that we couldn't designate all members as mentors too? Then, provide a box that you check off that says you have mentored as well as reviewed? Then you are covered and can claim the mentorship.
 - You can suggest they take it to someone outside, or pass it along
- Best Paper Voting Procedures COMMITTEE DRAFT

- Thank you for the great job of the committee: Scherer, Behlau, Corbin-Lewis, Echternach, Maragos, Solomon, Tanner
- Reviewed the guidelines for the manuscript reviewers. Discuss handout [attached]
 - Research Rating
 - Writing Rating
 - Decision
 - Quite often the reviewer thinks there is a major part that needs to be rechecked.
 - RTS: If you checked re-review, you want to see it again. If not, the office makes sure all suggestions are fulfilled and YOU DO NOT SEE IT AGAIN.
 - RTS Please look over the list so we can change the categories if necessary
- IX. Thank you for your hard work, and welcome to the new board members!
- X. Our next Editorial Board Meeting is set for Thursday, June 4th, 2016 at noon.